



## **ATTACHMENT A Remarks**

Claims 1-10 are pending in the present application. By this Amendment, Applicant has amended the specification and claims 1, 2, 3, 5, and 8, and respectfully submits that the present application is in condition for allowance based on the discussion which follows.

The specification was objected to for including informalities. By this Amendment, Applicant has amended the specification, thereby obviating the objections.

Claims 1-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Applicant respectfully submits that the amendments to the claims obviate the rejections to the claims.

Further, specifically with regard to the rejection of claim 1, line 31, allegedly being unclear as to whether the limitation "oscillation" encompasses reciprocating movement, Applicant respectfully directs the Examiner to paragraph [0004] of the substitute specification which provides disclosure regarding the oscillation movement of the lower jaw of the present invention. In addition, Applicant respectfully submits that the amendment to claim 1 clarifies that the oscillation of the gripper around the fork shaft, results from the claimed eccentric element cooperating with a portion of the upper jaw extending laterally away from the gripper and a fork portion of the stem of the upper jaw.

Finally, with regard to the amendments to the specification and the claims Applicant respectfully submits that no new matter has been added to either.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is now in condition for allowance.

**END REMARKS**